Technical Report Documentation Page

		• •		•
1. Report No.	2. Government Acces	sion No. 3. Re	ecipient's Catalog N	o.
FAA-AM-77-14				
4. Title and Subtitle	<u> </u>	5. Re	port Date	
		Ju	1y 1977	
REFRACTIVE ERROR CHARACTI			erforming Organization	n Code
AND ADVANCED PRESBYOPIC INDIVIDUALS				,,,,
IND ID THOUSE I LEED TO LEE LEE LEE LEE LEE LEE LEE LEE LEE LE			FAA	
		8. Pe	erforming Organizatio	n Report No.
7. Author's)				
K. W. Welsh, P. G. Rasmus	ssen, and J. A	. Vaughan		
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre	s s	10. V	Vork Unit No. (TRAIS	5)
	. •			
FAA Civil Aeromedical In	stitute	11. 0	Contract or Grant No.	
P. O. Box 25082				
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	73125	13 7	ype of Report and P	ariad Covered
• •		'`°' '	ype or keporr and r	eriod Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address				
Office of Aviation Medic				
Federal Aviation Adminis	tration	<u> </u>		
800 Independence Avenue,	S.W.	14. s	ponsoring Agency Co	ode
Washington, D.C. 20591			FAA	
15. Supplementary Notes				
13. Supprementary No.03				
	m1- AM A 771	7UV_05		
Work was performed under	lask AM-A-//-	-ni-9J.		
16. Abstract				
' '				
The frequency and distriand older people were ob of blue-collar, clerical age from 35 to 69 years conducted primarily at tooklahoma.	tained from a mand technica and were volum	nonclinical populat l jobs. The 422 in teers for several v	ion holding dividuals ra vision resear	a variety nged in ch studies
Data include frequency o refractive errors, inclu of eyes. These data, to will allow a realistic a expected visual acuities	ding diffe gether with in ssessment of t	rences in refractiv formation provided he distribution of	ve error s in by other inv	pairs estigators,
		•		
		18. Distribution Statement		
17. Key Words	1		•	
Refractive Error		Document is availa	able to the p	oublic through
Error Distribution		the National Techn	nical Informa	tion Service,
Vision	1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Springfield, Virgi		
				
Adult Population				
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Clas	sif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
17. Security Classif. (of this lepoi)				
Unclassified	Unclassi	fied	8	

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Procedure. Volunteers for the various research studies were given a manifest refraction by an optometrist using standard objective and subjective techniques. The individuals' hyperopic, myopic, and/or astigmatic refractive errors were determined for each eye for a 6-m (20 ft) viewing distance. In some instances, when a complete evaluation was not made on an individual, the refractive error was obtained by measuring the individual's spectacle lens correction for distance vision with a lensometer. Lensometry data were included only when the lenses corrected visual acuity to 20/20 or better at 6 m as measured by an ophthalmic acuity projector or a Titmus Vision Tester.

III. Results.

Following established practice, we are reporting the refractive errors as spherical equivalents so that the astigmatic error (cylinder power) is included at half value with the spherical refractive error. For example, if the refractive error of an eye is +1.25 D sphere, -1.00 D cylinder, axis 30° , the spherical equivalent would be +0.75 D of hyperopia.

Table 1 shows the age distribution of the 422 individuals included in the survey.

TABLE 1. Age Distribution of Individuals Included in Survey Based on Age at Last Birthday

AGE	NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS
35 - 39	23
40 - 44	116
45 - 49	95
50 - 54	89
55 - 59	63
60 - 64	27
65 - 69	9

Table 2 gives the distribution of spherical equivalent refractive errors for both eyes of 420 individuals. Two individuals were not included for lack of reliable refractive error data. The range and mean age remained the same for the full sample.

TABLE 2. Distribution of Refractive Errors (Spherical Equivalent) for 840 Eyes From 420 Individuals

RANGE OF REFRACTIVE ERROR (Diopters)	NUMBER OF EYES	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
-10.00 to -10.87	1	0.1
- 9.00 - 9.87	3	0.4
- 8.00 - 8.87	6	0.7
- 7.00 - 7.87	1	0.1
- 6.00 - 6.87	3	0.4
- 5.00 - 5.87	13	1.5
- 4.00 - 4.87	13	1.5
- 3.50 - 3.87	11	1.3
- 3.00 - 3.37	14	1.7
- 2.50 - 2.87	20	2.4
- 2.12 - 2.37	14	1.7
- 1.75 - 2.00	23	2.7
- 1.37 - 1.62	33	3.9
- 1.00 - 1.25	48	5.7
- 0.62 - 0.87	41	4.9
- 0.25 - 0.50	142	16.9
- 0.12 + 0.12	132	15.7
+ 0.25 + 0.50	119	14.2
+ 0.62 + 0.87	45	5.4
+ 1.00 + 1.25	59	7.0
+ 1.37 + 1.62	28	3.3
+ 1.75 + 2.00	19	2.3
+ 2.12 + 2.37	21	2.5
+ 2.50 + 2.87	9	1.1
+ 3.00 + 3.37	9	1.1
+ 3.50 + 3.87	5	0.6
+ 4.00 + 4.87	2	0.2
+ 5.00 + 5.87	4	0.5
+ 6.00 + 6.87	1	0.1
+ 7.00 + 7.87	1	0.1

Table 3 shows the distribution of the smallest spherical equivalent refractive error in each of 399 pairs of eyes. Those individuals with mixed refractive errors were not included. A mixed refractive error is defined, on the basis of the spherical equivalent refractive error, as a pair of eyes having one hyperopic eye and one myopic eye. Age range remained 35 to 69 years but the mean increased slightly to 49.0 years.

TABLE 3. Distribution of Smallest Refractive Error in Each of 399 Pairs of Eyes

RANGE OF REFRACTIVE ERROR (Diopters)	NUMBER OF EYES	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
- 9.00 to - 9.87	1	0.3
- 8.00 - 8.87	3 :	0.8
- 7.00 - 7.87	1	0.3
- 6.00 - 6.87	1	0.3
- 5.00 - 5.87	4	1.0
- 4.00 - 4.87	6	1.5
- 3.50 - 3.87	4	1.0
- 3.00 - 3.37	10	2.5
- 2.50 - 2.87	9	2.3
- 2.12 - 2.37	5 .	1.3
- 1.75 - 2.00	7	1.8
- 1.37 - 1.62	17	4.3
- 1.00 - 1.25	23	5.8
- 0.62 - 0.87	18	4.5
- 0.25 - 0.50	59	14.8
- 0.12 + 0.12	91	22.8
+ 0.25 + 0.50	51	12.8
+ 0.62 + 0.87	17	4.3
+ 1.00 + 1.25	27	6.8
+ 1.37 + 1.62	14	3.5
+ 1.75 + 2.00	10	2.5
+ 2.12 + 2.37	9	2.3
+ 2.50 + 2.87	3	0.8
+ 3.00 + 3.37	5	- 1.3
+ 3.50 + 3.87	1	0.3
+ 4.00 + 4.87	1	0.3
+ 5.00 + 5.87	1	0.3
+ 6.00 + 6.87	1	0.3

^{*} Individuals with mixed refractive errors were not included.

Table 4 shows the algebraic difference between the two eyes of the 420 individuals whose distribution of refractive errors is shown in Table 2.

TABLE 4. Distribution of Algebraic Differences of Refractive Errors in 420 Pairs of Eyes (Based on Spherical Equivalents)

ALGEBRAIC DIFFERENCE (Diopters)	PAIRS OF EYES HAVING DIFFERENCES AS SHOWN	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
0.00	103	24.5
0.12	79	18.8
0.25	93	22.1
0.37	33	7.9
0.50	44	10.5
0.62	15	3.6
0.75	19	4.5
0.87	8	1.9
1.00	6	1.4
1.12	2	0.5
1.25	• 6 , •	1.4
1,37	0	0.0
1.50	3	0.7
1.62	1	0.2
1.75	0	0.0
1.87	0	0.0
2.00	4	1.0
2.12	0	0.0
2,25	0	0.0
2.37	1	0.2
2.50	1	0.2
2.62 and greate	r 2	0.5

Table 5 gives the cylindrical refractive errors for all eyes of the full sample (422 individuals).

TABLE 5. Distribution of Astigmatic Refractive Errors in 422 Individuals

CYLINDRICAL REFRACTIVE ERROR (Diopters)	NUMBER OF EYES	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
0.00	324	38.4
0.25	84	10.0
0.50	201	23.8
0.75	83	9.8
1.00	77	9.1
1.25	25	3.0
1.50	10	1.2
1.75	10	1.2
2.00	4.	0.5
2.25	3	0.4
2.50	10	1.2
2.75	3	0.4
3.00	1	0.1
3.25	0	0.0
3.50	5	0.6
3.75	. 1	0.1
4.00	0	0.0
4.25	0	0.0
4.50	0	0.0
4.75	0	. 0.0
5.00	3	0.4

IV. Discussion and Summary.

The sample resulting from this survey seemingly differs from those based on clinical populations in that the individuals were predominantly free from known and/or uncorrected visual or pathological defects. The sample may, however, be described as partly self-selective in that the individuals were not randomly selected from the adult population. The absence of extreme visual or optical defects may be attributed in part to the fact that the sample was drawn largely from a gainfully employed population engaged in occupations that require at least moderately good visual acuity.

A minor bias may have been introduced in that those individuals excluded from the survey for lack of complete or adequate records (38 subjects) would mostly have fallen into the categories of the smaller refractive errors based on visual acuity performance.

Articles by Grosvenor (1) and Sorsby (2) discuss many aspects of refractive anomalies including incidence by age, sex, and race; ocular components that determine the error; changes with age; and corrective techniques. Hirsch (3) cites previous research endeavors concerning refractive error anomalies and notes areas requiring additional investigation.

We feel that these data, together with information provided by other investigators, will allow a realistic assessment of the frequency and distribution of ocular refractive errors in the adult population.

Information concerning the mean and range of unaided distance visual acuities for various refractive errors can be obtained from several sources, including Hirsch (4) for myopic errors and Pincus (5) and Borish (6) for hyperopic, myopic, and astigmatic errors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Grosvenor, T.: Refractive Anomalies of the Eye, OPTOM. WKLY., 67:1106-1108, 1976.
- 2. Sorsby, A.: The Nature of Spherical Refractive Errors, OPTOM. WKLY., 65:344-351, 1974.
- 3. Hirsch, M. J.: Summary of Current Refractive Anomalies, OPTOM. WKLY., 65:319-323, 1974.
- 4. Hirsch, M. J.: Relation of Visual Acuity to Myopia, ARCH. OPHTHALMOL., 34:418-421, 1945.
- 5. Pincus, M. H.: Unaided Visual Acuities Correlated With Refractive Errors, AM. J. OPHTHALMOL., 29:853-858, 1946.
- 6. Borish, I. M.: Clinical Refraction, 3d ed., Vol. 1, Chicago, Illinois, The Professional Press, 1975, pp. 345-416.